

20030831.qrp v03_n029.qrl.20030831

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 19:03:06 EDT
From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: QRP-L digest 3029

QRP-L Digest 3029

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [157087] FS: Altoid-tin Size 12-Position Miniature Barrier Strips
by Brad Thompson <Brad.Thompson@valley.net>
- 2) [157088] Try again.
by "John Paul Keon" <jpkeon@nc.rr.com>
- 3) [157089] Who's got the infected PC?
by Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
- 4) [157090] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by "DTX" <dtx@wood.tzo.com>
- 5) [157091] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by "Charles Mabbott" <aa8vs@msn.com>
- 6) [157092] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Hal Schlotfeld" <kc0bdw@hotmail.com>
- 7) [157093] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 8) [157094] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 9) [157095] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by <bill@n4qa.com>
- 10) [157096] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
- 11) [157097] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by Bud Rogers <budr@netride.net>
- 12) [157098] For Sale: Classic QRP kits
by wongtheo@netzero.com
- 13) [157099] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Michael Bower N4NMR" <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
- 14) [157100] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by Hank Kohl K8DD <k8dd@arrl.net>
- 15) [157101] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by Jim Campbell <jim-c@charter.net>
- 16) [157102] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by Brian <brian@iquest.net>
- 17) [157103] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
- 18) [157104] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>
- 19) [157105] Re: Who's got the infected PC?

- by "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>
- 20) [157106] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Hal Schlotfeld" <kc0bdw@hotmail.com>
- 21) [157107] Infrequent Reminder - QRP-L Help Commands
by "Paul Valko" <w8kc@comcast.net>
- 22) [157108] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>
- 23) [157109] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Paul Mills" <quahog@localnet.com>
- 24) [157110] Coast to Coast CW Event
by "John_K7FD" <john_k7fd@cablespeed.com>
- 25) [157111] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>
- 26) [157112] N0SXX from CO Trail on Sunday nite
by Gary Slagel <gdslagel@yahoo.com>
- 27) [157113] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>
- 28) [157114] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
- 29) [157115] The Morse Code Decision . some factors worth considering
by M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>
- 30) [157116] Morse code: a different perspective
by "John_K7FD" <john_k7fd@cablespeed.com>
- 31) [157117] OT CW or no CW, BPL or no BPL, or a more important issue
by KD5NWA <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>
- 32) [157118] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>
- 33) [157119] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by grizzarv@mindspring.com
- 34) [157120] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by bob evinger <wd9eka@evinger.com>
- 35) [157121] Re: Multiband QRP Tx with < 20 parts
by "Oleg V. Borodin" <master72@lipetsk.ru>
- 36) [157122] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by Thom LaCosta <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
- 37) [157123] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by Thom LaCosta <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
- 38) [157124] Re: Who's got the infected PC? -bounced messages]
by Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
- 39) [157125] Re: YAHOO emails
by Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
- 40) [157126] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Michael Bower N4NMR" <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
- 41) [157127] Repair Weller Solder Station
by David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
- 42) [157128] FS: Sun Catcher Sport Solar Panel
by "Alan Fryer" <N3BJ@hotmail.com>
- 43) [157129] QRP Superhet Receiver

- by "Lou" <w7ji@cox.net>
- 44) [157130] Re: SWL SW-XX+ Series
by "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
- 45) [157131] Updated list of crystals
by "Hudson, Steve (RBI-US RCD)" <sdhudson@reedbusiness.com>
- 46) [157132] Re: QRP Superhet Receiver
by w9ya <w9ya@arrl.net>
- 47) [157133] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
by Robert Baxter <rbaxter@cybertrails.com>
- 48) [157134] Re: For Sale - Classic QRP Kits
by wongtheo@netzero.com
- 49) [157135] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by "Bill Rowlett" <kc4atu@hotmail.com>
- 50) [157136] Re: SuSe 8.2
by "Joe Spencer" <kk5na@kk5na.com>
- 51) [157137] Stop Bashing ARRL
by Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
- 52) [157138] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
- 53) [157139] Re: YAHOO emails
by Bruce Rattray <rattray@gpfn.sk.ca>
- 54) [157140] Where ole Where did the piggie's go??
by "Jerry Ford" <benlightnd13@mchsi.com>
- 55) [157141] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>
- 56) [157142] Re: Stop Bashing ARRL
by "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
- 57) [157143] Re: YAHOO emails
by "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
- 58) [157144] Re: YAHOO emails
by w9ya <w9ya@arrl.net>
- 59) [157145] Re: Who's got the infected PC? -bounced messages]
by Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
- 60) [157146] 21mcs
by Wayne Rogers <w5kdj@juno.com>
- 61) [157147] Re: YAHOO emails
by "DTX" <dtx@wood.tzo.com>
- 62) [157148] FS Wilderness Radio SST 40 novice
by Chad Nelson <galahad@boisecenter.net>
- 63) [157149] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 64) [157150] OT: RE: Who's got the infected PC?
by "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>
- 65) [157151] Re: 21mcs
by Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>
- 66) [157152] YIpeeeeeee !
by Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>
- 67) [157153] Re: 21mcs

by "Mike WA8BXN" <hubby2k@hotmail.com>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 19:02:44 -0400
From: Brad Thompson <Brad.Thompson@valley.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157087] FS: Altoid-tin Size 12-Position Miniature Barrier Strips
Message-ID: <5.0.2.1.2.20030830173031.01bf8a20@pop3.norton.antivirus>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hello--

FS: Kulka type 411, 12-position barrier strips. Measuring 3 inches long, these strips fit comfortably atop an Altoid tin and offer a method of attaching temporary connections.

These barrier strips are molded from black phenolic plastic and feature a solder tab (approx. 1/8th-inch long). The screw terminals accommodate crimp lugs that fit #2-56 screws or small-gauge wires. Current-carrying capacity is 5 amps maximum, and the voltage rating is 300 volts maximum.

These terminal strips attach via double-sided sticky tape, adhesive, or by replacing two screws with longer screws (that penetrate the Altoid tin's lid) and adding lockwashers and nuts.

The price is \$1.00 each, or 12 for \$10.00, plus postage; I honor PayPal, certain credit cards, personal checks and money orders in payment.

More information is available on request.

73--
Brad AA1IP

Brad Thompson, Bookseller
P.O. Box 307, 202 Whitaker Rd.
Meriden, NH 03770-0307

Voice: (603) 469-3351
E-mail: brad.thompson@valley.net

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 19:03:07 -0400
From: "John Paul Keon" <jpkeon@nc.rr.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>,
"klqrp" <klqrp@knightlites.org>, <njqrp@njqrp.org>,
Subject: [157088] Try again.
Message-ID: <026001c36f4a\$e1a11840\$6401a8c0@nc.rr.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

BlankForgot to do plain text.

Do not forget that tomorrow is the last day to register
your home and cell phones for the national registry for
the do not call list.

www.donotcall.gov

and don't forget it is a three step process,
and you have to verify your numbers.

John Paul, Raleigh, NC [AB4PP]//NNN UTV
<http://www.knightlites.org>
"We all take different paths in life, but no matter where
we go, we take a little of each other everywhere."

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 19:58:45 -0400
From: Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [157089] Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20030830195845.007d0620@mailhost.ncia.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Judging from the "from" headers of all the virus I've been getting in the
last few days (like 20 a day), I strongly suspect that one or more list
members has an infected PC. And since they haven't noticed yet, I would bet
thier on a DSL line and don't pay any attention to how many emails thier
machine is sending out.

So, if you have a DSL line and are using Outlook express, don't have any virus scan software, and you or someone with access to your PC opened an attachment which ended in a .pif or .scr extension, PLEASE, PLEASE, delete my address from your address book!

In fact, please configure Outlook so it doesn't automatically add address to the address book and delete whats in there!

Thank you.

72,
Steve, KD1JV
"Melt Solder"
White Mountains of New Hampshire
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 17:35:00 -0700
From: "DTX" <dtx@wood.tzo.com>
To: <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157090] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <02b301c36f57\$b63e9b20\$0c00a8c0@home>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.

So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.

Gary WA6DTX
----- Original Message -----
From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 4:58 PM
Subject: Who's got the infected PC?

> Judging from the "from" headers of all the virus I've been getting in the
> last few days (like 20 a day), I strongly suspect that one or more list
> members has an infected PC. And since they haven't noticed yet, I would
> bet
> thier on a DSL line and don't pay any attention to how many emails thier
> machine is sending out.

>
> So, if you have a DSL line and are using Outlook express, don't have any
> virus scan software, and you or someone with access to your PC opened an
> attachment which ended in a .pif or .scr extension, PLEASE, PLEASE,
delete
> my address from your address book!
>
> In fact, please configure Outlook so it doesn't automatically add address to
> the address book and delete whats in there!
>
> Thank you.
>
>
> 72,
> Steve, KD1JV
> "Melt Solder"
> White Mountains of New Hampshire
> <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>
>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:36:53 -0400
From: "Charles Mabbott" <aa8vs@msn.com>
To: Makos327@worldnet.att.net, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157091] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <BAY5-F27eqQ9Ei4x7D20004ad11@hotmail.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Discriminatory, remember Arnold got criticized because he wanted to keep the
primary language in LA as English not Spanish..... I am not sure how
CW is discriminatory?? It is merely a mode of operation and a method of
communication just like SSB, PSK, etc. It just takes a little work to learn
and it just isn't installed and used like software.

This will not bring in more hams by removing it, that just does not wash.
But there are so many different ways to communicate using ham radio I still
don't see why this one mode draws so much flak.

73
Chuck AA8VS

"Whoever said the pen is mightier than the

sword obviously never encountered automatic weapons."

<http://68.43.100.7:81/aa8vs>

>From: "Lawrence Makoski" <Makos327@worldnet.att.net>
>Reply-To: Makos327@worldnet.att.net
>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
>Subject: Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
>Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 18:43:33 -0400
>
>John,
>
>Once again, as so often happens seems to happen, it appears that we can
>no longer depend on our representatives. It is becoming increasingly
>apparent, in my humble opinion, that despite their hitherto standing of
>public support of the Morse requirement; the ARRL would be just as happy
>to see it go away. What is more disturbing is their desire to do this
>in a "see-our-hands-are-clean?" kind of way. I would really hate to
>think; or see in the future, for that matter, that the outcome of this
>issue was determined by the money making special interests of some
>group.
>
>So then it seems this is going to go down to the wire with the
>proponents of the Morse Code requirement fighting this out on our own,
>the best we can. I would urge all proponents of the Morse Code
>requirement file their comments with the FCC. Both the ARRL and FCC
>websites explain, in all reality, how easy this is to do.
>
>It seems to me that the main arguments are that Morse Code is out of
>date, so it no longer retains any validity. I believe this argument to
>be balderdash. The other main argument seems to be that somehow
>maintaining a Morse Code requirement is discriminatory. We citizens of
>the United States have to avoid this current fad of labelling something
>discriminatory just because one person or group finds it so. Please
>back up your claims with documented research!
>
>I would think it would be in the best interest of the United States of
>America to lead the group of nations and maintain itself as a shining
>beacon by not only keeping the Morse Code requirement; but to also make
>the written part of the exam more relevant and meaningful. With the
>state of the world as it is, it would seem the USA would want it's
>"trained cadre of those interested in the radio arts" to be the best,
>brighest and most THOROUGHLY trained as possible - not the opposite.

>
>73 de Larry W2LJ - Vivat Morse!
>
>W2LJ@arrl.net
><http://www.qsl.net/w2lj>
>
>ARRL Lifemember QRP ARCI #4488 NJQRP #47
>FISTS #1469 QRP-L #778 FP #612 QRPp-I #759
>ARS #1528 --- K1 #1647
>
>
>

Get MSN 8 and enjoy automatic e-mail virus protection.
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/virus>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 19:45:10 -0500
From: "Hal Schlotfeld" <kc0bdw@hotmail.com>
To: <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157092] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <000001c36f59\$21d89380\$6401a8c0@hal2000>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I thought that it was coming from my machine because I got an email say =
that
I had sent an email to someone (I didn't, and I wasn't even online). =
Anyways
I did a scan with Norton and AVG and both came back clean. So either =
someone
is spoofing my old email address or the hotmail server is infected. But
anyways I read up on the SoBig virus and the last day it should be going
around is Sept 9th. It shuts itself off on the 10th. I also let Hotmail =
know
about the problem.

Hal
KC0BDW

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:47:40 -0400
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157093] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <005201c36f59\$7f6f9f20\$0200a8c0@charter.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

You know, I have to say that this a cusp. But it's not the loss of a CW requirement that will be our downfall. It's the stratification of HAM radio that will kill us, more than the loss of ANY requirement could ever do.

The attitude of the unwashed hoardes at the gate mentality that will KEEP the hobby divided.

Just get it over with. Drop the CW now. For it surely will be dropped later if not now. Get over it. Grow up. Move on.

BE CONSTRUCTIVE!

Three years ago, I almos got chased off this list because I posted that the CW may eventually go, and the battle will not be should CW stay, but instead will how to make sure that interest in CW stays strong. Or at least strong enough to keep certain areas of the bands 'reserved' for non-voice modes.

Well, I'll be honest, I thought it would be a few more years away. But like it or not, it's now knocking at our door. NO! Not the unclean masses. The opportunity to change, and change constructively. As opposed to being whiney spoiled little brats.

Bottom line is, there is NOT a consensus to keep CW as a requirement. And like it or not, it's gonna go. So, do it now an move on? Or waste more time in an immature display of frustration and childish behavior that seems to have become prevalent in the HAM community of late?

"Real Extras"? "CB-Plussers"? People that shut off repeaters when they hear 'no code techs' on them? If we want bad examples to make our case, there's plenty of them out there. Let's try to change that.

Get it over with. Get over it. Move on.

And grow...

Mike

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:51:48 -0400
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
To: <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>,
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157094] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <006901c36f5a\$4274da80\$0200a8c0@charter.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, there are people who may not even KNOW you are in their address book. I've run into people that didn't realize they had OE configured to automatically put anyone and everyone they reply to into their address book...

Mike

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:58:30 -0400
From: <bill@n4qa.com>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157095] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <000301c36f5b\$001b44c0\$a325ad80@f1n5n8>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Last week, I tried to post a note here, on the subject of Morse (and other amateur license) testing. The moderator saw fit to deny my note. So, this time, I'll simply ask that all hams and prospective hams file comments relative to the subject petitions. Whether you are for or against Morse testing, please file comments. I understand that not all these petitions call for an end to Morse testing. Again, I ask that you file comments on these and related future petitions as well.
Thank you.

73.
Bill, N4QA

<http://www.n4qa.com>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:03:14 -0400
From: "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157096] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <3F511112.24701.C1A9BB@localhost>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

>
> WRONG! The problem is not BPL. The FCC is not going to allow this to happen.
> The problem is the loss of CW and the decadence of the ham radio hobby as we
> have know it. The Bozos are already at the front door of the FCC complaining
> about 5 WPM testing. They would love to turn the HF bands into what 75 meters
> presently is. john

I wish I had faith in the FCC, but I am afraid they are NOT our friend, so I am not so sanguine about them not allowing BPL to happen. Even if it does, I can still take my portable CW rig out into the sticks, and talk to others doing the same.

As for the elimination of the CW requirement, I have said it before, we are sacrificing QUALITY for QUANTITY. We are heading not for a 75M, but more like 11M of the 70's. YES, CW keeps some people out of Ham Radio.....and I don't think that's a bad thing.

John W2AGN

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:19:10 -0500
From: Bud Rogers <budr@netride.net>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157097] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <200308302019.10478.budr@netride.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Disposition: inline

On Saturday 30 August 2003 19:35, DTX wrote:

> The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.

Looks like a DSL client on Interstar.net. That ring a bell with anybody?

--

Bud Rogers <budr@netride.net> KD5SZ EM05vb

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 01:30:24 GMT
From: wongtheo@netzero.com
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157098] For Sale: Classic QRP kits
Message-ID: <20030830.183047.15023.99481@webmail06.lax.unttd.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain

I have for sale four QRP kits.

- Rockmite 20 meters
- Rockmite 40 meters
- Warbler PSK-80
- Fireball 40

All new and unbuilt. The whole set \$75 shipped to anywhere in CONUS. I prefer Paypal.

Regards,

Tedd K6OI

The best thing to hit the internet in years - NetZero HiSpeed!
Surf the web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month -visit www.netzero.com to sign up today!

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:32:23 -0400
From: "Michael Bower N4NMR" <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157099] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <ODE0IKLMAJFGCNMOGAGIEEAMDIAA.bowerm@ix.netcom.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This from a "whois" site:

Does this ring a bell for anyone????

OrgName: InterStar Communcations, Inc.
OrgID: ISTC
Address: 108 SAMPSON STREET
City: CLINTON
StateProv: NC
PostalCode: 28328
Country: US

NetRange: 66.207.224.0 - 66.207.255.255
CIDR: 66.207.224.0/19
NetName: INTERSTAR-BLK1
NetHandle: NET-66-207-224-0-1
Parent: NET-66-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Allocation
NameServer: NS1.INTRSTAR.NET
NameServer: NS2.INTRSTAR.NET
Comment: ADDRESSES WITHIN THIS BLOCK ARE NON-PORTABLE
RegDate: 2001-12-20
Updated: 2002-12-03

> -----Original Message-----

> From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of
> DTX

> Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 8:35 PM

> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

> Subject: Re: Who's got the infected PC?

>

>

> The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.

>

> So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.

>

> Gary WA6DTX

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>

> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

> Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 4:58 PM

> Subject: Who's got the infected PC?

>
>
> > Judging from the "from" headers of all the virus I've been
> getting in the
> > last few days (like 20 a day), I strongly suspect that one or more list
> > members has an infected PC. And since they haven't noticed yet, I would
> bet
> > thier on a DSL line and don't pay any attention to how many emails thier
> > machine is sending out.
> >
> > So, if you have a DSL line and are using Outlook express, don't have any
> > virus scan software, and you or someone with access to your PC opened an
> > attachement which ended in a .pif or .scr extention, PLEASE, PLEASE,
> delete
> > my address from your address book!
> >
> > In fact, please configure Outlook so it doesn't automaticly add
> address to
> > the address book and delet whats in there!
> >
> > Thank you.
> >
> >
> > 72,
> > Steve, KD1JV
> > "Melt Solder"
> > White Mountains of New Hampshire
> > <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>
> >
>
>
>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:31:00 -0400
From: Hank Kohl K8DD <k8dd@arrl.net>
To: dtx@wood.tzo.com,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157100] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.2.20030830212249.05dac880@mail.arenet.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 8/30/2003 05:35 PM -0700, DTX wrote:

>The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.
>
>So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.
>
>Gary WA6DTX

That IP address at this time returns from DNS

dsl3-p158.intristar.net

<http://www.intristar.net/> is

InterStar Communications Inc
308 Vance St
Clinton, NC 28328
For more information:
Call 910-564-4638 or 800-840-1113
sales@intristar.net

Looks like they are a full service ISP, with ISDN, DSL and dial-up.

73 Hank K8DD

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:58:48 -0400
From: Jim Campbell <jim-c@charter.net>
To: dtx@wood.tzo.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157101] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <3F515658.9050003@charter.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Doing a "tracert 66.207.252.158" says that the machine is on intristar.net

Jim
W4BQP

DTX wrote:

>The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.
>
>So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.

>
>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:41:18 -0500
From: Brian <brian@iquest.net>
To: "" <dtx@wood.tzo.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157102] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <1062294078.3f51523eec52d@webmail.iquest.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Here's the skinny on that IP address....

OrgName: InterStar Communications, Inc.
OrgID: ISTC
Address: 108 SAMPSON STREET
City: CLINTON
StateProv: NC
PostalCode: 28328
Country: US

NetRange: 66.207.224.0 - 66.207.255.255
CIDR: 66.207.224.0/19
NetName: INTERSTAR-BLK1
NetHandle: NET-66-207-224-0-1
Parent: NET-66-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Allocation
NameServer: NS1.INTRSTAR.NET
NameServer: NS2.INTRSTAR.NET
Comment: ADDRESSES WITHIN THIS BLOCK ARE NON-PORTABLE
RegDate: 2001-12-20
Updated: 2002-12-03

AbuseHandle: ABUSE120-ARIN
AbuseName: Abuse
AbusePhone: +1-910-592-4638
AbuseEmail: abuse@intrstar.net

TechHandle: AJ247-ARIN
TechName: Johnson, Andrew
TechPhone: +1-910-592-4638

TechEmail: aj3@intristar.net

TechHandle: SHA5-ARIN

TechName: Harrison, Stephen

TechPhone: +1-910-592-4638

TechEmail: sharrison@intristar.net

TechHandle: ADMIN66-ARIN

TechName: Administrator

TechPhone: +1-910-592-4638

TechEmail: admin@intristar.net

Quoting DTX <dtx@wood.tzo.com>:

> The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.

>

> So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.

>

> Gary WA6DTX

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>

> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

> Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 4:58 PM

> Subject: Who's got the infected PC?

>

>

> > Judging from the "from" headers of all the virus I've been getting in the
> > last few days (like 20 a day), I strongly suspect that one or more list
> > members has an infected PC. And since they haven't noticed yet, I would
> > bet

> > thier on a DSL line and don't pay any attention to how many emails thier
> > machine is sending out.

> >

> > So, if you have a DSL line and are using Outlook express, don't have any
> > virus scan software, and you or someone with access to your PC opened an
> > attachement which ended in a .pif or .scr extention, PLEASE, PLEASE,
> > delete

> > my address from your address book!

> >

> > In fact, please configure Outlook so it doesn't automaticly add address to
> > the address book and delet whats in there!

> >

> > Thank you.

> >

> >

> > 72,

> > Steve, KD1JV
> > "Melt Solder"
> > White Mountains of New Hampshire
> > <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>
> >
>
>
>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 19:09:06 -0700
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157103] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <20030831020906.GA8957@n7xy.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Sat, Aug 30, 2003 at 07:45:10PM -0500, Hal Schlotfeld wrote:

> I thought that it was coming from my machine because I got an email say that
> I had sent an email to someone (I didn't, and I wasn't even online). Anyways
> I did a scan with Norton and AVG and both came back clean. So either someone
> is spoofing my old email address or the hotmail server is infected. But
> anyways I read up on the SoBig virus and the last day it should be going
> around is Sept 9th. It shuts itself off on the 10th. I also let Hotmail know
> about the problem.

Those reports (I've received several recently) are useless, since this virus (and many others) spoof both the sender and recipient names. By September 10, there will probably be another one to deal with.

Bob, N7XY

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:17:35 -0500
From: "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>
To: brian@iquest.net
Cc: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [157104] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <3F51146F.26760.CE23A9@localhost>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

I'm probably not the only one, but I've sent an email to "support@intristar.net" asking for help identifying the sender. Don't know if it'll help, but it's at least worth a try.

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:29:12 -0400 (EDT)
From: "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>
To: Jim Campbell <jim-c@charter.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157105] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0308302126590.4450-100000@w3eax.umd.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Unfortunately, if you PING 66.207.252.158, you get a timeout.

dsl3-p158.intristar.net

may or may not exist; if it does exist, the computer's network connection might be so busy you can't get a reply from a PING.

Scott

On Sat, 30 Aug 2003, Jim Campbell wrote:

```
> Doing a "tracert 66.207.252.158" says that the machine is on intristar.net
>
> Jim
> W4BQP
>
> DTX wrote:
>
> >The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.
> >
> >So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.
> >
> >
>
>
```

--
Scott Rosenfeld ARS N7JI

541-684-9970 Eugene, OR Land o' much rain
If you find me on the air, I'm probably in my car
ham@w3eax.umd.edu <http://w3eax.umd.edu/~ham>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:33:30 -0500
From: "Hal Schlotfeld" <kc0bdw@hotmail.com>
To: <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157106] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <000001c36f68\$445021d0\$6401a8c0@hal2000>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

>From what I've read about the Sobig viruses (there have been 6, so far) =
they
are a 3 part virus. The use the infected machine to send out more of
themselves. Then they contact another server to Download a Trojan. Then =
the
Trojan contacts another server to download another virus.

BTW here is a site that has a removal tool and more info on this virus
that's going around.
[http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.sobig.f@mm.ht=](http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.sobig.f@mm.html)
ml

Hal, KC0BDW

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 22:41:24 -0400
From: "Paul Valko" <w8kc@comcast.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157107] Infrequent Reminder - QRP-L Help Commands
Message-ID: <00ea01c36f69\$5eedfc50\$6601a8c0@p4main>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lots' of handy QRP-L instructions and friendly tips are found here...

<http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w8kc/qrp1c.htm>

The usual stuff... how to subscribe, postpone for a vacation, unpostpone :-), etc.

Heck, if you don't have one, you might as well get your very own, good-for-life, QRP-L Member Number.

73! =paul= W8KC
Collector of Ten*Tecs and Other Fine Plastics.
Visit the Virtual Ten*Tec Museum at
<http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w8kc>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:44:38 -0600
From: "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157108] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <003b01c36f69\$d2de9e80\$6801a8c0@dad>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anybody received one of these emails purporting to be from me (ekdave@earthlink.net)? I've gotten several bounce messages, but the headers on the original emails look like this:

Return-Path: <ekdave@earthlink.net>
Received: (qmail 24688 invoked by uid 100); 31 Aug 2003 01:37:55 -0000
Received: from dsl3-p158.intrstar.net (HELO computer) (66.207.252.158)
 by 67.106.134.50.ptr.us.xo.net with SMTP; 31 Aug 2003 01:37:55 -0000
From: <ekdave@earthlink.net>

That's not an Earthlink mail server, so it's definitely not coming from me. Besides, I'm up to date with patches, virus sigs, etc. and I know my machine's clean. But it's interesting that the same intrstar.net address is in the headers.

73 de Dave NK0E

Hank wrote:

That IP address at this time returns from DNS

dsl3-p158.intrstar.net

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 23:01:03 -0400
From: "Paul Mills" <quahog@localnet.com>
To: <QRP-L@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157109] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <001f01c36f6c\$53bc3420\$4e659942@cybrinjn>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I forwarded several of the offending emails plus headers showing that they originated at their domain but got no answers at all, not even a autoresponder. BTW intrstar.net is in NC.

Paul KB1GEJ

----- Original Message -----
From: Frank Emens <femens@hiwaay.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 10:17 PM
Subject: Re: Who's got the infected PC?

> I'm probably not the only one, but I've sent an email to
"support@intrstar.net" asking
> for help identifying the sender. Don't know if it'll help, but
it's at least worth a try.
>
>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:08:02 -0700
From: "John_K7FD" <john_k7fd@cablespeed.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157110] Coast to Coast CW Event
Message-ID: <006901c36f6d\$171534d0\$3701a8c0@hitradio99>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

All this internet talk about CW's pending demise is downright depressing! So I thought I'd send out a lil' reminder about something fun!

K7LOW, the QRPacific QRP Club will be active in the upcoming C2C next month:

http://www.tomochka.com/k7fff/fnw_c2c03.html

We will operating 5 watts out, so keep an ear out for us!
Hope to work some of your fine fists!

73, John K7FD for K7LOW, Fists 7825

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 04:13:52 +0100
From: M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>
To: Dave Ek <ekdave@earthlink.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157111] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <20030831041352.A27792@pull.privacy.nb.ca>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Sat, Aug 30, 2003 at 08:44:38PM -0600, Dave Ek wrote:

> Anybody received one of these emails purporting to be from me
> (ekdave@earthlink.net)? I've gotten several bounce messages, but the headers
> on the original emails look like this:
>
> Return-Path: <ekdave@earthlink.net>
> Received: (qmail 24688 invoked by uid 100); 31 Aug 2003 01:37:55 -0000
> Received: from dsl3-p158.intrstar.net (HELO computer) (66.207.252.158)
> by 67.106.134.50.ptr.us.xo.net with SMTP; 31 Aug 2003 01:37:55 -0000
> From: <ekdave@earthlink.net>
>

The SoBig.* viruses forge email headers (I first forged email headers 11 years ago using telnet, to demonstrate to a friend that it was trivial) so the To and From in a message are take from Outlook/Outlook Express address book, Temporary Internet Files, and various other places that may have an email address on the infected computer.

I have 3 IP addresses that are frequently (hourly) sending me viruses, I've had something like 200+ copies of Sobig.f and over 25 bounces from forged headers.

Luckily, "[a]t Microsoft, we're strongly committed to the goal of ending today's spam epidemic." -- <<http://www.microsoft.com/mscorp/execmail/>>

Thanks Bill. :-)

-ve1mct

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:14:54 -0700 (PDT)
From: Gary Slagel <gdslagel@yahoo.com>
To: QRP L <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157112] N0SXX from CO Trail on Sunday nite
Message-ID: <20030831031454.66803.qmail@web11608.mail.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi,

I'll be out on the Colorado Trail again Sunday nite.
We'll be hiking from Breckenridge to Copper Mtn.
Distance about 13 miles... elevation gain about 2500
feet. We'll be hiking above tree line for mile or
two.... Hope to camp at around 10,000 feet.

I'll be on 20/30/40 meters Sunday nite with my k1 and
an 83' wire. I'll try to be on 14.060 about 7PM if
anyone wants to listen for me.

Thanks to anyone who looks for me... always fun to
work the qrp1 guys!

73, Gary

=====
Gary Slagel/N0SXX
Conifer, CO
<http://gdslagel.bravepages.com>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! SiteBuilder - Free, easy-to-use web site design software
<http://sitebuilder.yahoo.com>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 23:17:44 -0400
From: Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>
To: jsielke@pobox.com,
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157113] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <019001c36f6e\$757d56a0\$3b6d020a@boomer>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Well said, John. Just why am I sending that money to Newington? I suspect the fact of the matter is that the elephants at the ARRL (as opposed to the poor folks working in the mines, like Ed Hare) actually care more about increasing membership base and thus their rewards than they do about maintaining the principles upon which the ARRL was founded.

73 de Lee
KM4YY/8

----- Original Message -----
From: "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 4:37 PM
Subject: Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment

> What I find really interesting is that when the ARRL Rep abstained, I wrote
> rather heated correspondence to Directors, and to the ARRL President. All
> told me that he abstained because there was "no ARRL policy in place."
BUT,
> the ARRL's own representative stated that "ARRL policy is to MAINTAIN the
CW
> requirement."
>
> Then, in further skullduggery, I was told "don't sweat it, Nothing will
> happen until after the January 2004 Director's Meeting. " RIGHT! So now we
> have 6 petitions to respond to, and where is the ARRL "representing" us?
>
> John W2AGN
>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:18:34 -0700
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157114] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <20030831031834.GA9276@n7xy.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

Possibly it is a DHCP or PPPoE dynamic IP, which is assigned at the time the computer connects to the network and may be assigned to different computers at different times.

Or, their network may block pings.

On Sat, Aug 30, 2003 at 09:29:12PM -0400, Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI] wrote:
> Unfortunately, if you PING 66.207.252.158, you get a timeout.
>
> dsl3-p158.intristar.net
>
> may or may not exist; if it does exist, the computer's network connection
> might be so busy you can't get a reply from a PING.
>
> Scott
>
> On Sat, 30 Aug 2003, Jim Campbell wrote:
>
> > Doing a "tracert 66.207.252.158" says that the machine is on intristar.net
> >
> > Jim
> > W4BQP
> >
> > DTX wrote:
> >
> > >The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.
> > >
> > >So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Scott Rosenfeld ARS N7JI
> 541-684-9970 Eugene, OR Land o' much rain
> If you find me on the air, I'm probably in my car
> ham@w3eax.umd.edu <http://w3eax.umd.edu/~ham>

>
>

--

Bob Nielsen, N7XY
Bainbridge Island, WA

n7xy (at) n7xy.net
<http://www.n7xy.net>

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 04:21:35 +0100
From: M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>
To: QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157115] The Morse Code Decision . some factors worth considering
Message-ID: <20030831042135.B27792@pull.privacy.nb.ca>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

The Morse Code Decision - some factors worth considering
By Ken Pulfer VE3PU - VP International Affairs Radio Amateurs of Canada

<<http://www.rac.ca/news/canada.htm#The%20Morse%20Code%20Decision>>

Introduction

Following the revisions to the international radio regulations at the recent World Radio Conference, it now seems almost inevitable that most countries will decide to drop Morse code testing for access to the HF bands. Some of the reasons why attitudes are changing are explained in the background section below.

What should we do in Canada? The decision to change our examination requirements in Canada is for the government regulator Industry Canada to make. To help Industry Canada decide, we are each being asked to express our views on the retention or discontinuation of Morse code as a proficiency requirement for HF operation in Canada.

We are a sovereign country, and can decide whatever we want in terms of qualifications of our Radio Amateurs, but we do have to live in the world amateur radio community, and we ignore the actions of other countries at our peril. Opinions on the subject can be quite emotional, so before we jump to a quick decision, let's look at some of the possible consequences of changing the testing requirements here in Canada.

And, let's not forget that we are talking about regulations, not an operating mode. Amateurs around the world will continue to use cw on HF for many years

to come, regardless of what the testing requirements are.

...

[There is more to Ken's writing, but I will let those interested go to rac.ca, and spare the others the never-ending morse debate. -ve1mct]

<<http://www.rac.ca/news/canada.htm#The%20Morse%20Code%20Decision>>

-ve1mct

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 20:25:00 -0700
From: "John_K7FD" <john_k7fd@cablespeed.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157116] Morse code: a different perspective
Message-ID: <008101c36f6f\$76070390\$3701a8c0@hitradio99>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

For those getting their party hats ready for cw's collapse, you might want to read:

<http://www.uwec.edu/ce/morse2000.htm>

73, John K7FD

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 22:59:18 -0500
From: KD5NWA <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [157117] OT CW or no CW, BPL or no BPL, or a more important issue
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030830222851.00a7a1e8@127.0.0.1>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

What could be more important than those issues? Try this one, taking your next breath!

This last weekend I had a interesting experience, I almost make it into QST magazine as "KD5NWA SK".

I'm allergic to a specific tropical hornet, so when I moved to colder

climate I stopped worrying about the issue, boy was I wrong, apparently there are some close relatives living up here.

I was cutting firewood in the wood near my house when I got attacked by a bunch of hornets, within seconds of getting stung my vision blurred, my heart was pounding and I was gasping for air, all I could think off to stay alive was that I had to get to my bathroom and take a large dosage of antihistamines. After falling numerous times while trying to get to the house I finally made it after what seemed like an eternity, and took my allergy medicine. After 15 minutes of gasping for air I was finally able to breathe enough to call for help.

Today I'm beginning to feel close to normal, but it's hard for me to get worked up about any of code/nocode, BPL arguments, I'm just glad I'm still here to read my email, I'll worry about those arguments next week.

For know I'll argue with anyone who wants the FCC to take my air away.

Cecil
KD5NWA

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 23:24:49 -0400 (EDT)
From: "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>
To: Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>, <ehare@arrl.org>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157118] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0308302322190.4450-1000000@w3eax.umd.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Guys, it's ALL important.

Strength comes from numbers AND from technical ability.

I don't know why they abstain from votes. That does seem silly.

They're still the best voice we have, however, unless someone wants to quit their job and lobby full-time on our behalf.

Scott N7JI

On Sat, 30 Aug 2003, Lee Mairs wrote:

> Well said, John. Just why am I sending that money to Newington? I suspect

> the fact of the matter is that the elephants at the ARRL (as opposed to the
> poor folks working in the mines, like Ed Hare) actually care more about
> increasing membership base and thus their rewards than they do about
> maintaining the principles upon which the ARRL was founded.

>
> 73 de Lee
> KM4YY/8
>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
> Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 4:37 PM
> Subject: Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment

>
>
> > What I find really interesting is that when the ARRL Rep abstained, I
> wrote
> > rather heated correspondence to Directors, and to the ARRL President. All
> > told me that he abstained because there was "no ARRL policy in place."
> BUT,
> > the ARRL's own representative stated that "ARRL policy is to MAINTAIN the
> CW
> > requirement."
> >
> > Then, in further skullduggery, I was told "don't sweat it, Nothing will
> > happen until after the January 2004 Director's Meeting. " RIGHT! So now we
> > have 6 petitions to respond to, and where is the ARRL "representing" us?
> >
> > John W2AGN
> >
>
>

--
Scott Rosenfeld ARS N7JI
541-684-9970 Eugene, OR Land o' much rain
If you find me on the air, I'm probably in my car
ham@w3eax.umd.edu <http://w3eax.umd.edu/~ham>

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 23:19:19 -0500 (CDT)
From: grizzarv@mindspring.com
To: kc0bdw@hotmail.com
Cc: qrp-l@lehigh.edu (Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion)
Subject: [157119] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <200308310419.h7V4JJJa28580@kg7yy.kg7yy.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> From: "Hal Schlotfeld" <kc0bdw@hotmail.com>
> Subject: RE: Who's got the infected PC?
>
> I thought that it was coming from my machine because I got an email say that
> I had sent an email to someone (I didn't, and I wasn't even online). Anyways
> I did a scan with Norton and AVG and both came back clean. So either someone
> is spoofing my old email address or the hotmail server is infected. But
> anyways I read up on the SoBig virus and the last day it should be going
> around is Sept 9th. It shuts itself off on the 10th. I also let Hotmail know
> about the problem.
>
> Hal
> KC0BDW

I got a couple dozen from an IP address that crossed to an Airband subscriber in Phoenix. I sent the abuse addressee a copy of the headers (not the worm) and a request that they help their user sort out the computer, and a request to my ISP's support address (CC'd to Airband's abuse address) asking them to block connections from that IP address until the user got the virus removed and the problems fixed. The worm-laden E-mail stopped for good about 30 minutes later.

I also got a bounce message saying the mail couldn't be delivered at present and would be delivered within 5 days or returned to me, and I asked the targeted ISP to please delete it as it was a bogus Sobig.F E-mail with my E-mail address spoofed onto it. Apparently they did; I am getting no more warning messages.

de kg7yy

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 00:38:50 -0500 (CDT)
From: bob evinger <wd9eka@evinger.com>
To: Frank Emens <femens@hiwaay.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157120] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0308310036270.20209-1000000@aprs2>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

I sent a couple myself to them. I have not received any, but the culprit machine has apparently been using my email address as the from and a

couple of the messages bounced back to me when they were marked undeliverable. So that isp got 2 emails with forwards from me and I even sat on hold for about 5 minutes trying to get through to their tech support this afternoon. After 5 minutes I decided it wasnt worth it. Hopefully since I wasnt the only one to email them they have remedied the situation.

And my email address is no longer being maligned.

bob

On Sat, 30 Aug 2003, Frank Emens wrote:

> I'm probably not the only one, but I've sent an email to "support@intristar.net" asking
> for help identifying the sender. Don't know if it'll help, but it's at least worth a try.
>

--

Bob Evinger EMT-I WD9EKA/AAR5MG(Army MARS operator)
If Guns Cause Crime, Then Matches Cause Arson.

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 09:19:04 +0400
From: "Oleg V. Borodin" <master72@lipetsk.ru>
To: <leon_heller@hotmail.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157121] Re: Multiband QRP Tx with < 20 parts
Message-ID: <00a101c36f82\$8320c3a0\$b9e422c3@OLEG.lipetsk.ru>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="koi8-r"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Dear OM's!

I find this project too beatifull!
I'm very like very simple radios, builded by a few components only.
This is a "reakky QRP" style, IMHO!
I very much would like to receive this circuit
Wish you all the best!
--- In QRP We Trust :-)
72! de RV3GM Oleg V. Borodin
RU-QRP Club <http://ruqrp.narod.ru>

: Leon Heller <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
: Multiband QRP Tx with < 20 parts

>George Dobbs G3RJV of G-QRP and SPRAT fame, Practical Wireless's resident
>"sin bosun", has an interesting design for a simple Tx in the Sept. issue.
>He set himself the task of building a multi-band Tx with less than 20
parts,
>and just about managed it with a simple Tx based on GM30XX's 'ONER'. It
uses
>a 2N2222A Pierce crystal oscillator direct-coupled to a VN10KLS MOSFET PA,
>with a three-element LP filter. A PNP device keys the output stage. Crystal
>and output filter are plug-in, and component values are given for all bands
>from 1.8 MHz to 18 MHz. Power output is between 1 W and 3W.

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 03:24:30 -0400 (EDT)
From: Thom LaCosta <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
To: John Sielke <jsielke@pobox.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157122] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <20030831032222.B93279-1000000@unix1.vhost.min.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Sat, 30 Aug 2003, John Sielke wrote:

> As for the elimination of the CW requirement, I have said it before, we are
> sacrificing QUALITY for QUANTITY. We are heading not for a 75M, but more like
> 11M of the 70's. YES, CW keeps some people out of Ham Radio.....and I don't
> think that's a bad thing.

Gads....next thing you know there will be a call for entrance exams for
schools, final exams for graduates and even tests to qualify for motor
vehicle operation.

You're painting a very grim picture of personal responsibility.

Thom

baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com
<http://www.baltimoremd.com/>
<http://www.baltimorehon.com/>
<http://www.zerobeat.net>

Thom LaCosta K3HRN Webmaster
Baltimore's Home Page
Home of the Baltimore Lexicon
Home of The QRP Web Ring and DrakeList

<http://www.tlchost.net>

Web Hosting as low as \$3.49/month

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 03:26:54 -0400 (EDT)
From: Thom LaCosta <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
To: "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157123] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <20030831032447.C93279-100000@unix1.vhost.min.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Sat, 30 Aug 2003, Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI] wrote:

>
> They're still the best voice we have, however, unless someone wants to
> quit their job and lobby full-time on our behalf.

Based on the current apathy displayed concerning BPL and CW issues, no one should plan a career change to become a lobbyist.

Thom

baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com	Thom LaCosta K3HRN Webmaster
http://www.baltimoremd.com/	Baltimore's Home Page
http://www.baltimorehon.com/	Home of the Baltimore Lexicon
http://www.zerobeat.net	Home of The QRP Web Ring and DrakeList
http://www.tlchost.net	Web Hosting as low as \$3.49/month

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 04:15:26 -0500
From: Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
To: ekdave@earthlink.net,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157124] Re: Who's got the infected PC? -bounced messages]
Message-ID: <3.0.2.32.20030831041526.006a0de8@mail.9plus.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Dave,

I'm getting lots of them. Mostly bounced from AOL and using my w5usj@arrl.net forwarding address. I did see some with the address I'm using with this message.

At 08:44 PM 8/30/03 -0600, Dave Ek wrote:

>Anybody received one of these emails purporting to be from me
>(ekdave@earthlink.net)? I've gotten several bounce messages, but the headers
>on the original emails look like this:

Chuck Carpenter, W5USJ, Point, Rains Co., TX - EM22cv, NETXQRP #1
QRP-ARCI #5422, QRP-L #1306, QRPp-I #115, ARS #1280, SOC #57
Zombie #759, COG #11, 6 Club #201, FP #601 <http://www.netxqrp.org>

Date: 31 Aug 2003 09:20:48 -0400
From: Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
To: n4xy@earthlink.net, qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [157125] Re: YAHOO emails
Message-ID: <1062336047.2122.16.camel@localhost.localdomain>
Content-Type: text/plain
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Most of the spam probably doesn't come from yahoo. Normally, the headers are forged. You can tell by looking at the header. You need to set your email client to show full headers. As you look at the header, look for a number in the form xxx.xxx.xxx.xxx. This is an IP number. Get the originators IP number and put it into "whois" on one of the internet registry sites such as www.arin.net for US. If it was sent from another country, [arin.net](http://www.arin.net) will link you to the other registrys in different parts of the world.

Once you figure out where the spam was actually sent from, you can then forward the email with headers intact, 'UCE' (unsolicited commercial email) in the header, to the site administrator, with no further comments. The site admins don't like having their system hijacked any more than you like getting the spam, so they are usually most willing to help and some will send an email back thanking you.

It takes a little work, but I think it results in less spam. I have 2 email accounts on the same ISP. I send spam complaints from one, but not from the other just to see if it makes a difference. It helps a bit but I still get some stuff.

Sorry for OT post, but it may help clear up some confusion.

CU in MI qrp! (there, now it's not off topic)

Fran Km1z

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 09:30:04 -0400
From: "Michael Bower N4NMR" <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157126] RE: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <ODE0IKLMAJFGCNMOGAGICEBEDIAA.bowerm@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I know this is a serious virus and not to be fooled with. Doesn't really cause damage to the infected PC but certainly wreacs (sp?) havoc on the net.

But I just got this denial and it made me smile. Computers can be "human" after all. Enjoy. (But don't get infected.)

>From the denial message:

Hi. This is the qmail-send program at anor.privatedns.com.
I'm afraid I wasn't able to deliver your message to the following addresses.
This is a permanent error; I've given up. Sorry it didn't work out.

Michael N4NMR

> -----Original Message-----
> From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of
> Bob Nielsen
> Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 11:19 PM
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: Re: Who's got the infected PC?
>
>
> Possibly it is a DHCP or PPPoE dynamic IP, which is assigned at the
> time the computer connects to the network and may be assigned to
> different computers at different times.
>
> Or, their network may block pings.
>
> On Sat, Aug 30, 2003 at 09:29:12PM -0400, Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI] wrote:

```
> > Unfortunately, if you PING 66.207.252.158, you get a timeout.
> >
> > dsl3-p158.intrstar.net
> >
> > may or may not exist; if it does exist, the computer's network
> connection
> > might be so busy you can't get a reply from a PING.
> >
> > Scott
> >
> > On Sat, 30 Aug 2003, Jim Campbell wrote:
> >
> > > Doing a "tracert 66.207.252.158" says that the machine is on
> intrstar.net
> > >
> > > Jim
> > > W4BQP
> > >
> > > DTX wrote:
> > >
> > > >The received header on all my recent ones is from 66.207.252.158.
> > > >
> > > >So if someone has that one, might want to check yourself<G>.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > --
> > Scott Rosenfeld ARS N7JI
> > 541-684-9970 Eugene, OR Land o' much rain
> > If you find me on the air, I'm probably in my car
> > ham@w3eax.umd.edu http://w3eax.umd.edu/~ham
> >
> >
>
> --
> Bob Nielsen, N7XY n7xy (at) n7xy.net
> Bainbridge Island, WA http://www.n7xy.net
>
>
```

To: "qrp-1 \\"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion\\" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157127] Repair Weller Solder Station
Message-ID: <3F51FA3D.B5A2196A@cnmnetwork.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

My old favorite Weller WTCP Solder Station needs repair.
The soldering iron cord plugs into a plastic connector on the Power Supply unit. Two of the three wires in the power supply unit, just came out of the pins, apparently only crimped, never soldered, wires still in perfect condition.

All I want to do, is remove those two pins so I can solder or recrimp the wires and reinstall pins loaded with wire into the connector again. Can the empty pins be released with tiny needle? I tried but could not release.

Need help releasing those pins.

Perfectly good station. Do not want to cut connector off cord and permanently wire into power supply unit.

Many thanks for any suggestions,
73, W6MIK, Dave

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 09:47:16 -0400
From: "Alan Fryer" <N3BJ@hotmail.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157128] FS: Sun Catcher Sport Solar Panel
Message-ID: <Law9-0E6508dsiRlJyb00025281@hotmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

For Sale: Powerline Sun Catcher Sport Solar Panel/battery pack. Nice folding panel in nylon case.

See <http://shop.store.yahoo.com/satphonestore/suncasp.html>

for details. These were offered in a group buy a while ago on the reflector and this one is unused in the original box.

\$95.00 shipped, slightly less than I paid originally.

Alan, N3BJ
Bent Mountain, VA

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 06:56:58 -0700
From: "Lou" <w7ji@cox.net>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157129] QRP Superhet Receiver
Message-ID: <000b01c36fc7\$be995240\$6401a8c0@ph.cox.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Trying to see if there is enough interest in building this little receiver
so I can place an order for the pc boards. If interested you can check it
out at: www.members.cox.net/w7ji/receiver.html

72/73',
Lou, W7JI

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 10:39:27 -0400
From: "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157130] Re: SWL SW-XX+ Series
Message-ID: <002701c36fcd\$ae445b00\$53c6e742@megalink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I second Chuck's remarks. The SW-XX series is about the best price/performance
deal in hamdom. One of those, and a tuner such as the BLT or Z-Match will
provide real performance, even for the neophyte. They are trail friendly, too.

73/72

aa1my

Seabury & Sharon Lyon
99 Sparrowhawk Mtn Rd
Bethel ME, 04217 U.S.A.
207-836-2576

Virus Protection by Norton and ZoneAlarm

----- Original Message -----

From: "Chuck Adams" <k7qo@commspeed.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Saturday, August 30, 2003 4:48 PM

Subject: SWL SW-XX+ Series

>

>

> I consider this little rig a jewel and for only \$55 for the PC board
> and parts on the board you can't beat it. Especially for new
> kit builders and it does provide a very economical way into
> the hobby for those on very limited budgets. Not every one
> has a large budget for hobbies.

>

> <http://www.smallwonderlabs.com/>

>

> I am not an employee of SWL nor do I get any funding for this.

> I am a serious kit builder as Dave Benson realizes more than

> any one else. :-)

>

> The power out is adjustable with an internal pot from 0 to 2.0 or so
> watts. It will not get you 5W if you think you need that much power.
> Keying is clean and the receiver has excellent sensitivity. Selectivity
> isn't meant to make it a contest type rig. Just a little rig to enjoy
> for casual operation. I have WAS and about 45 countries on 30m
> with one on battery and 0.95W output to a vee-beam. I have another
> on 40m that was also used for WAS at 0.95W and now working at
> 0.50W when the QRN season is over here in AZ. (I have a #10 wire
> shorting out the 300 ohm window line to the TenTec tuner. Yesterday
> a lighting strike 1km away still caused the internal caps to arc over!!
> No rig attached.)

>

> I have a construction article on my web page on building the SW-30+
> that I just finished in PDF format with color photos. Take a gander at
> it. It is the article that I have on the web for years and the one that
> appeared some time back in the NorCal QRPp. Part of the old Elmer101
> project years back.

>

> I just 30 minutes ago got an email from Dave B. giving me permission to
> again put

> the schematic back on the web. The Manhattan layout is there in prelim
> form also pointed to by another link. I haven't built it yet. Work in
> progress.

> I was suspicious of some of the photos in the article and will work on the
> article again today.

> I put it aside and I like to come back and reread it through and catch errors

> that may have crept or rushed in....
>
> *****thought of this the last couple of days and wrote a posting but
> held up*****
> *****I put the posting in a file just in case it could fly, otherwise
> it dies a quick death****
>
> I'm thinking of a building contest using only the SWL rig but you have to
build
> it Manhattan or Pittsburgh Style. 2yr sub to AmQRP Homebrewer for first
> and one
> year each for second and third places. Those that have already built the
> Ft Smith sidekick and want to go with this can build a matching
> transmitter. In order for me to do this I need 20+ entries as it will
> cost me about \$150 to sponsor the contest with prizes and certificates.
> I need NA5N, K8IQY, K1SWL, and a couple of others to judge
> with me if it goes. If I get the interest and Dave can ship kits in a timely
> fashion, then we can go with this. It's getting close to the fall
> building season.... Let me know off line and I'll post more info
> if we generate enough interest. Contest to run for only 4 weeks,
> so you can't sit around on this one. I'm guessing 10 days from
> the announcement to the start date and 45 days thereafter to build and debug.
>
> I'm waiting to hear back from Dave on availability of the kits and how many
> he has.
> I may have to drive to CT and help. :-) ;-)
>
> Many thanks to Dave Benson, NN1G/K1SWL, for all his work since the NE4040
> club project with the
> New England QRP Club and his work in the QRP ARCI Quarterly and all the
> great stuff he has done since then. He is not one to sit around and rest for
> long periods of time.
>
> FYI
>
>
>
> Chuck Adams K7QO k7qo@commspeed.net
> <http://www.qsl.net/k7qo> CP-60
> I have made this letter longer than usual, because I lack the time to make
> it short. --- B. Pascal
>

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 10:47:04 -0400
From: "Hudson, Steve (RBI-US RCD)" <sdhudson@reedbusiness.com>

To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [157131] Updated list of crystals
Message-ID: <A7E30DBD5928D442A7AE9EF215EEE133046B7FF8@bincmdggnorexc02.cahners.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Hi folks -- here's an updated list of the available crystals, including a number of new discoveries. The crystals are \$5 each, plus shipping from 30004.

Now back to digging out stuff!

Thanks,

Steve AA4BW

FT243 -- \$5 each, or \$30 for the batch

1935

3950

7125

7150

7155

7185

8583.333

14407

CR18/U

7023.75

CR27/U

3683.333

CR33/U - these are marked with 21.xxxx frequencies. When checked, I get a strong marker at 7.xxxx, plus a weaker marker at the 21 mhz frequency. \$5 each, or \$20 for the set of 6

21.1535

21.2035

21.2535

21.3035

21.3535

21.4035

CR-1A/1B - these are larger crystals in the 40-meter band. This bag of crystals also includes two sockets, which has been pulled from equipment (both are in good shape, but the solder lugs of one will need a moment of cleaning up). All crystals work fine in my little test set-up. \$30 for the set of 5 crystals and the two sockets.

7010
7011.11
7055.55
7061.11
7066.67

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 10:11:43 -0500
From: w9ya <w9ya@arrl.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157132] Re: QRP Superhet Receiver
Message-ID: <200308311011.43834.w9ya@arrl.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

On Sunday 31 August 2003 08:56 am, Lou wrote:
> Trying to see if there is enough interest in building this little receiver
> so I can place an order for the pc boards. If interested you can check it
> out at: www.members.cox.net/w7ji/receiver.html
>
> 72/73',
> Lou, W7JI

Hey Lou;

I like to see schematics when I make these kinds of decisions. Is it possible to share that ?

72;

Bob

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 08:09:34 -0700
From: Robert Baxter <rbaxter@cybertrails.com>
To: unlisted-recipients;; (no To-header on input)
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [157133] Re: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <3F520FAE.9020305@cybertrails.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Steven Weber wrote:

> Judging from the "from" headers of all the virus I've been getting in the
> last few days (like 20 a day), I strongly suspect that one or more list
> members has an infected PC.

I made a post last week about this, with minimal response and one
complaint about starting a new virus thread. Boy, what a difference a
week makes.

Bob Baxter AA7EQ
Bisbee, Az.

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 15:18:29 GMT
From: wongtheo@netzero.com
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157134] Re: For Sale - Classic QRP Kits
Message-ID: <20030831.081927.22088.136394@webmail02.lax.unttd.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain

They've been tentatively sold. Thanks for inquiring.

Regards,

Tedd K6OI

The best thing to hit the internet in years - NetZero HiSpeed!
Surf the web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month -visit www.netzero.com to sign up today!

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 15:36:47 +0000
From: "Bill Rowlett" <kc4atu@hotmail.com>
To: jsielke@pobox.com, qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157135] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <Law15-F112QTmReozTI000178cc@hotmail.com>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

John and list, the problem with that line of thought about cw keeping people out has one problem, that is it has not worked well in the past. The Extra portion of 80 meters was worse back in the eighties than it is now. The lack of being proficient in an operating mode does not hurt as bad as the lack of technical ability and the general lack of respect for others. We can teach and test for the technical things, but how do we change an attitude which is in all of our present society.

Will cw be removed for testing, yes it will. It is a matter of when, not if. Does this hurt the hobby, no. As I said before, the hurt is in the lack of technical know how, mine included, and not in the ability to communicate in an operating mode. Can I understand the cw for ever line, yes. I was first a novice so I learned and used cw then and now.

Push to improve the technical knowledge needed to pass the test. I could add the need to retest to renew, but that is another can of worms. The test can be improved in ways which will still be checker friendly. Now, plug in the key and get on the air.

Bill kc4atu

>From: "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>

>Reply-To: jsielke@pobox.com

>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

>Subject: Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment

>Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 21:03:14 -0400

>

>

> >

> > WRONG! The problem is not BPL. The FCC is not going to allow this to happen.

> > The problem is the loss of CW and the decadence of the ham radio hobby as we

> > have know it. The Bozos are already at the front door of the FCC complaining

> > about 5 WPM testing. They would love to turn the HF bands into what 75 meters

> > presently is. john

>

>

>I wish I had faith in the FCC, but I am afraid they are NOT our friend, so >I

>am not so sanguine about them not allowing BPL to happen. Even if it does, >I

>can still take my portable CW rig out into the sticks, and talk to others
>doing the same.
>
>As for the elimination of the CW requirement, I have said it before, we are
>sacrificing QUALITY for QUANTITY. We are heading not for a 75M, but more
>like
>11M of the 70's. YES, CW keeps some people out of Ham Radio.....and I don't
>think that's a bad thing.
>
>
>John W2AGN

Help protect your PC: Get a free online virus scan at McAfee.com.
<http://clinic.mcafee.com/clinic/ibuy/campaign.asp?cid=3963>

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 11:21:22 -0500
From: "Joe Spencer" <kk5na@kk5na.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157136] Re: SuSe 8.2
Message-ID: <03e301c36fdb\$eb177590\$6801a8c0@SZ6X6SEFX0>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The SuSe CDs have all been spoken for.

Joe KK5NA

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 10:45:58 -0600 (MDT)
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [157137] Stop Bashing ARRL
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0308311045190.6392-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

The Truth about CW

This year the International radio law makers decided it was no

longer necessary for an Amateur Radio Operator to copy the Morse Code. This means that all countries can elect to no longer REQUIRE that Amateur Radio testing include CW. In this country it's Element 1.

Some QRP-L members are attacking the ARRL because yes, they have no stated policy on CW. But ARRL is a political animal and to succeed it cannot make either side, Phone or CW mad. To do so will loose members and that is bad. So do not expect a policy from ARRL.

The National Volunteer Examiner Coordinators (NVEC) has come out in favor of dropping Element 1. They see it happening sooner or later and would like to see it sooner.

I am a Liaison VE and love CW but want Element 1 eliminated asap. There is no reason to make all new Radio Amateurs demonstrate they can copy 5 Words per Minute. I will much rather teach Radio Amateurs how to copy 15 WPM code and send 20 WPM code.

The future is very clear to me. There will continue to be Radio Amateurs that enjoy the code and use it in contests and rag chews. The numbers of these Radio Amateurs will dwindle as we who are reading this die. But it will be over a period of 10-20 years and during this time I plan to again be a Fox in the QRP-L Fox-hunts and operate QRP CW during the sunspot minimum. Be interesting to see if 5 watts to a Butternut Vertical will let me in New Mexico work Europe on 40 meters in the CQDX-CW Contest at 2 AM local time. I did it last year and the year before.

CW will continue to be a fun mode of Amateur Radio for you and me for the rest of our lives.

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 12:51:17 -0400
From: "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157138] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <3F51EF45.22945.1138F78@localhost>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

>
> Push to improve the technical knowledge needed to pass the test. I could add
> the need to retest to renew, but that is another can of worms. The test can be
> improved in ways which will still be checker friendly. Now, plug in the key
> and get on the air. Bill kc4atu

I go along with this. In addition to improved technical knowledge, also require better knowledge of Part 97. I shudder every time I see an "Extra Class" ham ask a question that is basic to Part 97. (As for example, "can I talk to them truckers on 10M SSB....?")

One final thing...STOP publishing questions! Just a guide that says, "Part 1 will be questions on Basic RF Theory..Resonant Circuits, calculation of "Q," etc. Part II will be Basic Antenna Theory-Formulae for dipoles, how to determine HAAT (for those 2M guys ;-), etc.

Make people actually STUDY, not memorize answers! (Wouldn't hurt to start that in "real life....")

John W2AGN

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 11:19:43 -0600 (CST)
From: Bruce Ratray <rattray@gpfn.sk.ca>
To: Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157139] Re: YAHOO emails
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0308311116120.4435-1000000@neale.gpfn.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Like many, I'm trying to learn about spam, wearing out my DELETE key, struggle with it and hope that eventually it will be defeated...so here's a novice question (one of many) during my learning curve...
...using Explorer, I select FILE, then PROPERTIES, then DETAILS...in this screen there is a MESSAGE SOURCE...does this show me the truth or maybe not as to the true source of the spam?...tnx...

..72/73 - Bruce (VE5RC+VE5QRP) QRP-C#1 QRP-L#886 ARCI#9683 Zombie#272
A-1 Operator Club - 10/10# 944 - QRP Borg#1 - Whiner#10 -
- VE5QRP SOC#11 - VE5RC SOC#12 - oo#148 - K2#2032 - COG#15 -
"QRP! How sweet it is!" "I am da man wit "DAH" paddle!"

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 12:33:05 -0500
From: "Jerry Ford" <benlightnd13@mchsi.com>
To: "qrp-1" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>, "FPigs" <fpqrp-1@mpna.com>
Subject: [157140] Where ole Where did the piggie's go??
Message-ID: <007801c36fe5\$f01e0900\$0077da0c@mchsi.com>

OK folks, Anybody want to get on the radio and play??

Where's all den new piggies?? I need to work some of ya'll
before the weekend is up so I can catch up with LL.

Anybody want to come out and play??

I'm listening on 7.044 with MP + #8

72 es oo Jerry N0JRN
FP # 546, ARS # 923, ARCI # 11049, ARRL,
Springfield, Mo. <http://home.mchsi.com/~n0jrn/>
MP + # 8, K 1 # 608, SW 20 +, TT 1340 , RM 20 &
40, Tiny Tornado 20, 30, 40, 80, SMK - 1
and so on and so on

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 13:46:13 -0400
From: Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>
To: jsielke@pobox.com,
 Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157141] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <028f01c36fe7\$c8e427f0\$3b6d020a@boomer>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Geez!!! That is twice in one week that I've agreed with you.

I better re-think my positions...

73 de Lee
KM4YY/8

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, August 31, 2003 12:51 PM
Subject: Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment

>
> >
> > Push to improve the technical knowledge needed to pass the test. I could
add
> > the need to retest to renew, but that is another can of worms. The test
can be
> > improved in ways which will still be checker friendly. Now, plug in the
key
> > and get on the air. Bill kc4atu
>
>
> I go along with this. In addition to improved technical knowledge, also
> require better knowledge of Part 97. I shudder every time I see an "Extra
> Class" ham ask a question that is basic to Part 97. (As for example, "can
I
> talk to them truckers on 10M SSB....?)"
>
> One final thing...STOP publishing questions! Just a guide that says, "Part
1
> will be questions on Basic RF Theory..Resonant Circuits, calculation of
"Q,"
> etc. Part II will be Basic Antenna Theory-Formulae for dipoles, how to
> determine HAAT (for those 2M guys ;-), etc.
>
> Make people actually STUDY, not memorize answers! (Wouldn't hurt to start
> that in "real life....")
>
> John W2AGN
>

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 13:52:53 -0400
From: "John Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157142] Re: Stop Bashing ARRL
Message-ID: <3F51FDB5.13431.150E02@localhost>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Content-description: Mail message body

> The future is very clear to me. There will continue to be Radio
> Amateurs that enjoy the code and use it in contests and rag chews. The
> numbers of these Radio Amateurs will dwindle as we who are reading this die.
> But it will be over a period of 10-20 years and during this time I plan to
> again be a Fox in the QRP-L Fox-hunts and operate QRP CW during the sunspot
> minimum. Be interesting to see if 5 watts to a Butternut Vertical will let me
> in New Mexico work Europe on 40 meters in the CQDX-CW Contest at 2 AM local
> time. I did it last year and the year before. CW will continue to be a fun
> mode of Amateur Radio for you and me for the rest of our lives.

Well, I don't know about you, but I plan to be operating CW more than 20
years from now....little magnetic key on my bedpan, my new K3 mounted on my
wheelchair, and a really pretty nurse to bring me stuff I need.

John W2AGN

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 13:48:38 -0400
From: "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Cc: <rattray@gpfn.sk.ca>
Subject: [157143] Re: YAHOO emails
Message-ID: <000a01c36fe8\$1bee1730\$080044c0@BrianBoru>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, sort of.....

You gotta dig. There are a number of "sources" in the email. The easiest
to forge are the "From" and "Reply-to".

What is interesting is the stuff above the actual message header. When your
mail came, it looked something like:

Received: from neale.gpfn.ca (neale.gpfn.sk.ca [198.169.198.4])
 by rain.CC.Lehigh.EDU (8.12.9/8.12.9) with ESMTP id h7VHJsRf016002
 for <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>; Sun, 31 Aug 2003 13:19:55 -0400
Received: from neale.gpfn.ca (IDENT:rattray@neale.gpfn.ca [10.190.63.4])
 by neale.gpfn.ca (8.10.0/8.10.0) with ESMTP id h7VHJhn04571;
 Sun, 31 Aug 2003 11:19:43 -0600
Message-Id: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0308311116120.4435-1000000@neale.gpfn.ca>

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 11:19:43 -0600 (CST)
Reply-To: rattray@gpfn.sk.ca

...

There were a buncha more "Received:" paragraphs....

The Received paragraph right above the message header is the one from the first mail server that handled the message, so it's the most interesting. Apparently, you are using your ISP's mail server (the normal situation). It shows your IP incorrectly, however. Evidently, your ISP masquerades IPs, so although your ISP appears to be gpfn.ca, this header doesn't give a lot of assurance that it's really the case. Addresses beginning with 10. are one of a few groups of addresses that aren't allowed to go across the Internet, and so cannot be resolved to an actual host name. (However, perhaps you are running your own mail server, and the 10. address is local to your home network).

Now Lehigh got your message from gpfn.ca, so there's a good bet that gpfn.ca owned the first mail server to get this message, so one piece of comforting evidence. Looking up 198.169.198.4 gives me the same answer as Lehigh got, so that is some more evidence that it's genuine. Also, 10.190.63.4 is an address that won't go across the Internet unless something is misconfigured along the way, so it's a pretty reasonable bet, but no real guarantee, that the message originated within gpfn.ca. A hacker, taking advantage of a misconfigured router somewhere, could have forged the message headers, then used the misconfigured router to send it to the "right" mail server. Hackers can sometimes manipulate the configuration of a router, but this is a pretty blatant misconfiguration, so it would be hard to get away with this one. If the mail server were also poorly configured (a pretty common occurrence) then it would merrily pass along the message and it would look a lot like it came from you.

It would be more common for the 10.169.198.4 to actually be a valid address, in which case these headers would give you a lot more assurance of where the message originated. It's just the luck of the draw that your ISP happens to hide this bit of data. As the Internet address space gets more crowded, this sort of IP masquerading is becoming more common, especially among broadband providers.

72/73 de WB8RCR <http://www.qsl.net/wb8rcr>
didileydadidah QRP-L #1446 Code Warriors #35

----- Original Message -----

From: "Bruce Rattray" <rattray@gpfn.sk.ca>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, August 31, 2003 1:19 PM
Subject: Re: YAHOO emails

>
> Like many, I'm trying to learn about spam, wearing out my DELETE
> key, struggle with it and hope that eventually it will be defeated...so
> here's a novice question (one of many) during my learning curve...
> ...using Explorer, I select FILE, then PROPERTIES, then DETAILS...in this
> screen there is a MESSAGE SOURCE...does this show me the truth or maybe
> not as to the true source of the spam?...tnx...
>
> ..72/73 - Bruce (VE5RC+VE5QRP) QRP-C#1 QRP-L#886 ARCI#9683 Zombie#272
> A-1 Operator Club - 10/10# 944 - QRP Borg#1 - Whiner#10 -
> - VE5QRP SOC#11 - VE5RC SOC#12 - oo#148 - K2#2032 - COG#15 -
> "QRP! How sweet it is!" "I am da man wit "DAH" paddle!"
>
>
>
>

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 13:11:39 -0500
From: w9ya <w9ya@arrl.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157144] Re: YAHOO emails
Message-ID: <200308311311.39467.w9ya@arrl.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

Hmmm.,.....

Well others have said it better here, but I will try also;

ALL of the information about who, what, when, and where a email comes from can be changed/faked and there is ultimately no way to tell anything about these items with any certainty.

Therefore it will do no good to assume anything about what you are receiving (including origin) except that you are receiving it.

72;

Bob

w9ya

On Sunday 31 August 2003 12:19 pm, Bruce Rattray wrote:

> Like many, I'm trying to learn about spam, wearing out my DELETE
> key, struggle with it and hope that eventually it will be defeated...so
> here's a novice question (one of many) during my learning curve...
> ...using Explorer, I select FILE, then PROPERTIES, then DETAILS...in this
> screen there is a MESSAGE SOURCE...does this show me the truth or maybe
> not as to the true source of the spam?...tnx...
>
> ..72/73 - Bruce (VE5RC+VE5QRP) QRP-C#1 QRP-L#886 ARCI#9683 Zombie#272
> A-1 Operator Club - 10/10# 944 - QRP Borg#1 - Whiner#10 -
> - VE5QRP SOC#11 - VE5RC SOC#12 - oo#148 - K2#2032 - COG#15 -
> "QRP! How sweet it is!" "I am da man wit "DAH" paddle!"

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 13:00:11 -0600 (MDT)
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
To: Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157145] Re: Who's got the infected PC? -bounced messages]
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0308311256300.6623-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Sun, 31 Aug 2003, Chuck Carpenter wrote:

> Dave,
>
> I'm getting lots of them. Mostly bounced from AOL and using my
> w5usj@arrl.net forwarding address. I did see some with the address I'm
> using with this message.

I'm getting them too and also it's AOL that sends the mailman
message that it cannot deliver mail from k5di@zianet.com which is real
odd because the AOL address is no-one I know.

>
> At 08:44 PM 8/30/03 -0600, Dave Ek wrote:
> >Anybody received one of these emails purporting to be from me
> >(ekdave@earthlink.net)? I've gotten several bounce messages, but the headers
> >on the original emails look like this:
>
>

>
> Chuck Carpenter, W5USJ, Point, Rains Co., TX - EM22cv, NETXQRP #1
> QRP-ARCI #5422, QRP-L #1306, QRPp-I #115, ARS #1280, SOC #57
> Zombie #759, COG #11, 6 Club #201, FP #601 <http://www.netxqrp.org>
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 14:37:22 -0500
From: Wayne Rogers <w5kdj@juno.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.EDU, soc@mailman.qth.net
Subject: [157146] 21mcs
Message-ID: <20030831.143722.-1740237.0.w5kdj@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Band is open. Just had a 20minute QSO to S. Ca. running 100mw. Any one looking for a pig report I'm QAP on 14.060 and 21.060. QRA W5KDJ.

Wayne_W5KDJ
ex: SV0WWW_TF2WJN *e-QSL*
ARS_1392 ARCI_11325 FP-626
FISTS_10060 SOC_538 ARRL

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 11:51:12 -0700
From: "DTX" <dtx@wood.tzo.com>
To: <rattray@gpfn.sk.ca>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157147] Re: YAHOO emails
Message-ID: <013201c36ff0\$d9e1e480\$0c00a8c0@home>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The TO: and FROM: are meaningless, i.e. you can get mail "to" another person and "from" is used to send replies but neither has to be REAL. The most difficult to forge is the RECIEVED: lines. Not impossible, just more difficult. Spammers with access to their email server can do things the typical end user such as you and me cannot. Most virus, worm, trojans just

fake the to, from, reply-to fields.

Gary WA6DTX

----- Original Message -----

From: "Bruce Rattray" <rattray@gpfn.sk.ca>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Sunday, August 31, 2003 10:19 AM

Subject: Re: YAHOO emails

>
> Like many, I'm trying to learn about spam, wearing out my DELETE
> key, struggle with it and hope that eventually it will be defeated...so
> here's a novice question (one of many) during my learning curve...
> ...using Explorer, I select FILE, then PROPERTIES, then DETAILS...in this
> screen there is a MESSAGE SOURCE...does this show me the truth or maybe
> not as to the true source of the spam?...tnx...
>
> ..72/73 - Bruce (VE5RC+VE5QRP) QRP-C#1 QRP-L#886 ARCI#9683 Zombie#272
> A-1 Operator Club - 10/10# 944 - QRP Borg#1 - Whiner#10 -
> - VE5QRP SOC#11 - VE5RC SOC#12 - oo#148 - K2#2032 - COG#15 -
> "QRP! How sweet it is!" "I am da man wit "DAH" paddle!"
>
>
>
>
>

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 14:08:32 -0700

From: Chad Nelson <galahad@boisecenter.net>

To: qrp-l <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [157148] FS Wilderness Radio SST 40 novice

Message-ID: <46C1C3B1-DBF7-11D7-B17D-000A9570F152@boisecenter.net>

Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v552)

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Unbuilt sst 40 novice, saving my pennies for a Dsw-II when they are
ready in 40!

\$75 includes shipping U.S.

Chad Nelson KD7FDU
Boise Idaho U.S.A

NO ADVENTURE WITHOUT ADVERSITY

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 16:12:37 -0400
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
To: <lmairs@direcway.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Cc: <jsielke@pobox.com>
Subject: [157149] Re: URGENT re FCC Petitions Open for Comment
Message-ID: <003901c36ffc\$3e642ca0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> Geez!!! That is twice in one week that I've agreed with you.
>
> I better re-think my positions...
>
> 73 de Lee
> KM4YY/8

Don't worry, the world won't end unless Karl agrees with him!

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 14:32:10 -0600
From: "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [157150] OT: RE: Who's got the infected PC?
Message-ID: <004501c36ffe\$f4470fe0\$6801a8c0@dad>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Since all the bounced virus emails that are coming back to me have originated from 66.207.252.158, and since we now know that's the intristar.net domain, I checked the QRP-L recipients list and found one email address from that domain. I sent the gentlemen a short email asking him to check his system in case it was infected. Hopefully we'll get some relief

soon.

Curiously, I have not received a single copy of the Sobig virus at earthlink.net in any of my five email addresses.

73 de Dave NK0E

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 16:25:43 -0400
From: Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [157151] Re: 21mcs
Message-ID: <20030831.162926.9294.0.kc8aon@juno.com>

Just a suggestion: It would be a good idea to include time and date in a post like this one for those that have been away from the computer for a while !

72/73 de: Rick McKee, KC8A0N <> Willow Wood, Ohio <> Grid: EM88rl
SW 40+, SW-20+, BLT, Norcal Cascade, Yaesu FT-7,
Homebrew 6V6 tube TX & Hallicrafters SW500 RX
QRP-L #2112, FPqrp #33, AR QRP AmQRP
I'll give up CW when I'm dead ! MAYBE !

On Sun, 31 Aug 2003 14:37:22 -0500 Wayne Rogers <w5kdj@juno.com> writes:
>Band is open. Just had a 20minute QSO to S. Ca. running 100mw. Any
>one
>looking for a pig report I'm QAP on 14.060 and 21.060. QRA W5KDJ.
>
>Wayne_W5KDJ
>ex: SV0WWW_TF2WJN *e-QSL*
>ARS_1392 ARCI_11325 FP-626
>FISTS_10060 SOC_538 ARRL
>

The best thing to hit the internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!
Surf the web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month - visit www.juno.com to sign up today!

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 16:29:22 -0400
From: Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>
To: fpqrp-1@mpna.com, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU

Subject: [157152] YIpeeeeeee !

Message-ID: <20030831.162926.9294.1.kc8aon@juno.com>

Finished the SW-20+ this morning ! Getting 1.8 watts out and receiver is really pulling in the signals ! Now gotta go take the xyl out for dinner and make up for all that time at the work bench !

72/73 de: Rick McKee, KC8A0N <> Willow Wood, Ohio <> Grid: EM88rl
SW 40+, SW-20+, BLT, Norcal Cascade, Yaesu FT-7,
Homebrew 6V6 tube TX & Hallicrafters SW500 RX
QRP-L #2112, FPqrp #33, AR QRP AmQRP
I'll give up CW when I'm dead ! MAYBE !

The best thing to hit the internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!
Surf the web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month - visit www.juno.com to sign up today!

Date: Sun, 31 Aug 2003 17:18:16 -0400 (Eastern Daylight Time)
From: "Mike WA8BXN" <hubby2k@hotmail.com>
To: <w5kdj@juno.com>, <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [157153] Re: 21mcs
Message-ID: <3F526618.000001.04052@compaq1500>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I'll try calling you on 15 for about the next ten minutes or so if the band
is still open. =0D
72/73 - Mike WA8BXN=0D
=0D
=20

End of QRP-L Digest 3029
